

United States Patent and Trademark Office

4

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,718	07/06/2004	Nils Bottke	53209	7194
26474 7	590 05/02/2006		EXAMINER	
NOVAK DRUCE DELUCA & QUIGG, LLP 1300 EYE STREET NW			KEYS, ROSALYND ANN	
SUITE 400 EAST TOWER WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/500,718	BOTTKE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rosalynd Keys	1621			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the practice of the practice o	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/6/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 10/500,718 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Claims 1-10 are pending.

Claims 1, 2, and 5- 10 are rejected.

Claims 3 and 4 are objected.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on July 6, 2004 has been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,718

Art Unit: 1621

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Page 3

6. Claims 1, 2 and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wender et al. (US 2,682,562).

Wender et al. teach reduction of aromatic aldehydes and aromatic alcohols by reaction with hydrogen in the presence of a carbonyl of cobalt at elevated temperature and pressure (see entire disclosure, in particular column 1, line 6 to column 4, line 64, example 7 and example 11). The catalyst may be formed in situ by adding the finely divided metal, or an organic or inorganic salt of the metal to the reaction mixture (see column 3, lines 37-53). The reaction temperature may vary between 70° and 250°C (see column 4, lines 27-39). The pressure is at least 40 atm (see column 4, lines 40-52). The reaction can take place with or without a solvent (see column 4, lines 56-64).

The instant method differs from the method of Wender et al. only in that the instant starting compound and final product contain additional hydroxyl or methoxy groups. However, the starting compounds are analogous in that they each either a benzaldehyde or a benzyl alcohol, thereby producing the corresponding toluene derivative.

One having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to employ the process of Wender et al. with the expectation of obtaining the desired product because he would have expected the analogous starting materials to react similarly. Further, once the general reaction has been shown to be old, the burden is on the applicant to present reason or authority for believing that a group on the starting compound would take part in or affect the basic reaction and thus alter the nature of the product or the operability of the process. See *In re Neunebauer et al.* (CCPA 1964) 330 F2d 353, 141 USPQ 205 and *In re Boe et al.* (CCPA 1974) 505 F2d 1297, 184 USPQ 38.

7. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nightingale et al. (J. Organic Chemistry, Vol. 14, 1949, pp. 1089-1093).

Nightingale et al. teach preparing alkylbenzenes from the corresponding methoxy aromatic aldehydes by hydrogenating said aldehydes over copper-chromium oxide at an initial hydrogen pressure of 220-340 atm and a temperature of 184°-250°C (see entire disclosure, in particular the summary on page 1093).

The instant method differs from the method of Nightingale et al. only in that the instant starting compound and final product contain additional hydroxyl or methoxy groups. However, the starting compounds are analogous in that they each either a benzaldehyde or a benzyl alcohol, thereby producing the corresponding toluene derivative.

One having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to employ the process of Nightingale et al. with the expectation of obtaining the desired product because he would have expected the analogous starting materials to react similarly. Further, once the general reaction has been shown to be old, the burden is on the applicant to present reason or authority for believing that a group on the starting compound would take part in or affect the basic reaction and thus alter the nature of the product or the operability of the process. See *In re Neunebauer et al.* (CCPA 1964) 330 F2d 353, 141 USPQ 205 and *In re Boe et al.* (CCPA 1974) 505 F2d 1297, 184 USPQ 38.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 3 and 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,718

Art Unit: 1621

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest use of a catalyst in the instant process that

comprises either components b and/or c in addition to component a.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Rosalynd Keys whose telephone number is 571-272-0639. The examiner

can normally be reached on M-W & F 4-10pm; H 5:30am-5pm; Sat 8am-1pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rosalynd Kevs

Page 5

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1621

April 29, 2006